

Diagnosis and treatment methods of central sensitization in temporomandibular disorders

Metody diagnostyki i leczenia centralnej sensytyzacji w dysfunkcjach skroniowo-żuchwowych

Dominika Joanna Duczmal¹, Anna Jopowicz², Dominika Gawlak³

¹ **Studenckie Koło Naukowe Katedry Protetyki, Warszawski Uniwersytet Medyczny**
Students' Research Group of the Department of Prosthodontics, Medical University of Warsaw
Head: prof. dr. hab. n. med. *Jolanta Kostrzewa-Janicka*

² **Klinika Rehabilitacji, Narodowy Instytut Geriatrii, Reumatologii i Rehabilitacji**
Rehabilitation Clinic, National Institute of Geriatrics, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Head: prof. dr. hab. n. med. *Beata Tarnacka*

³ **Katedra Protetyki Stomatologicznej, Warszawski Uniwersytet Medyczny**
Department of Prosthodontics, Medical University of Warsaw
Head: prof. dr. hab. n. med. *Jolanta Kostrzewa-Janicka*

KEY WORDS:

temporomandibular joint, temporomandibular dysfunctions, central sensitization

HASŁA INDEKSOWE:

staw skroniowo-żuchwowy, dysfunkcje skroniowo-żuchwowe, centralna sensytyzacja

Summary

Central sensitization (CS) occurs in many cases of chronic pain disorders. CS takes place within the central nervous system and manifests itself as intensified nociceptive signaling. The increased membrane excitability and synaptic efficacy present in this neuroplastic mechanism influence the development and intensification of pain associated with temporomandibular disorders.

In the following article, potential benefits of various diagnostic and therapeutic methods are presented. Traditional approaches in managing this disorder have been analysed as well as new alternative and innovative therapies that are still gaining importance in the medical fields.

Diagnostic methods such as comprehensive clinical assessment, detailed history taking and analysis of psychosocial aspects of the patient are essential components of accurate identification of the problem. To alleviate symptoms related to central sensitization effectively, a multifaceted approach is recommended, incorporating various

Streszczenie

Centralna sensytyzacja (CS) występuje w przypadku wielu przewlekłych schorzeń bólowych, których podłożem są zmiany w centralnym układzie nerwowym, manifestujące się wzmożonym sygnałem nocycyptywnym. Występująca w tym mechanizmie neuroplastycznym wyższa pobudliwość błon i skuteczność synaptyczna, wpływa na rozwój i nasilenie bólu związanego z zaburzeniami skroniowo-żuchwowymi.

W poniższej pracy zostały przedstawione potencjalne korzyści wielu metod zarówno diagnostycznych jak i terapeutycznych. Przeanalizowano tradycyjne podejście w leczeniu tego schorzenia, a także terapie nowe, alternatywne i innowacyjne, które dopiero zyskują na znaczeniu w dziedzinach medycyny. Metody diagnostyczne, takie jak kompleksowa ocena kliniczna, szczegółowy wywiad, czy analiza aspektów psychospołecznych pacjenta, stanowią nieodzowny element diagnostyki i postawienia prawidłowego rozpoznania. W celu skutecznego

aspects of CS. Based on numerous scientific publications, possible therapeutic approaches have been developed that may aid the dentists in alleviating symptoms associated with central sensitization.

A multidisciplinary and integrated combination of psychological, physical and pharmacological interventions appears to be the key to optimal management and reduction of symptoms associated with the presence of central sensitization in patients.

łagodzenia objawów związanych z CS, zaleca się podejście wielopłaszczyznowe, w którym terapia uwzględnia różnorodne aspekty tego zjawiska. Na podstawie wielu publikacji naukowych opracowano możliwe podejścia terapeutyczne, które mogą być pomocne lekarzom denty stom w minimalizowaniu objawów związanych z centralną sensytyzacją.

Wielodyscyplinarne i zintegrowane połączenie działań psychologicznych, fizycznych i farmakologicznych wydaje się być kluczem do optymalnego postępowania i niwelowania objawów związanych z obecnością centralnej sensytyzacji u pacjentów.

Introduction

Central sensitization (CS), occurring in various chronic pain conditions, is a neuroplastic mechanism that takes place within the central nervous system, associated with increased sensitivity and response to pain.^{1,2} Enhanced neuronal function in nociceptive pathways is caused by higher membrane excitability and synaptic efficacy. This mechanism indicates the neuroplastic capabilities of the human nervous system in response to external stimuli inducing inflammation.^{3,4} Central sensitization is responsible for altering patients' sensitivity when encountering pain.³ The hypersensitivity associated with CS is triggered by a difference in sensory response caused by neutral stimuli that should not elicit any negative sensations.^{3,4} Consequently, even mild stimuli can evoke an exaggerated pain response, significantly impacting the quality of patients' life.

Central sensitization also occurs in the context of temporomandibular disorders (TMD). Dysfunction in processing pain signals originating in this area, leads to an increased pain response. Often, central sensitization can be the primary factor causing chronic pain in TMD disorders.⁵

Acute pain, lasting less than three months, is a protective mechanism informing the human body of tissue damage, and can be controlled effectively.⁶ Chronic pain persisting for more than three months is more challenging to diagnose and manage due to its complex etiology and pathogenesis.⁷ Central sensitization is a component of chronic pain characterized by allodynia, hyperalgesia and radiation to areas distant from the primary damage.^{8,9} Chronic pain in the orofacial region is often caused by nerve damage, tissue inflammation in this area and temporomandibular joint dysfunction. Additionally, it is often associated with various comorbid conditions such as depression, anxiety, stress, or sleeping disorders.¹⁰ Both central and peripheral sensitization are believed to contribute to the aforementioned features of chronic pain.⁹

During the initiation process of the disease, two processes are particularly important in chronic muscle pain and TMD disorders, primarily affecting areas innervated by the trigeminal nerve. One of them is sensitization initiated by the activation of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, while the other is the weakening of inhibitory mechanisms associated with gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine receptors.^{3,11-13}

Additionally, activation of NMDA receptors associated with abnormalities in the peripheral and central nervous systems leads to neuronal stimulation and the onset of chronic pain.^{7,14,15} On the basis of researches by *Kimura et al.* and *Zhang et al.*, it can be stated that regulation and activation of NMDA receptors within the course of the trigeminal nerve initiate intracellular cascades through calcium ion influx and protein kinase activation. This, in turn, regulates membrane excitability and increases nociceptive flow, resulting in the development of chronic inflammatory and neuropathic pain.^{7,16,17}

This intensified, prolonged and repetitive reactivity of nociceptive receptors can lead to the phenomenon known as “wind-up”.^{18,19} There are many studies in which the terms “wind-up” and “central sensitization” are treated as synonyms.¹⁸ However, according to *Wolf*, they are distinct phenomena and should not be confused. “Wind-up” mainly refers to the induced response resulting from repetitive synchronous stimulation of C fibers, usually occurring in experimental conditions. Central sensitization, on the other hand, arises from the asynchronous activation of primary afferent fibers, often induced by tissue or nerve damage. Nevertheless, it can be assumed that the “wind-up” phenomenon is one of several factors involved in initiating the process of central sensitization.^{18,19}

Nociceptors in the temporomandibular joint can sensitize neurons in the central nervous system, especially within the trigeminal nerve nuclei.^{13,20} Neurons in this area have more receptors associated with pain neurotransmitters.¹³ Based on animal models, it has been observed that glutamate administration to the temporomandibular joint sensitizes nociceptors, thus increasing the area of facial skin where pain reactions occur, indicating the presence of central sensitization.^{13,21} Additionally, patients with temporomandibular

joint arthralgia exhibit increased sensitivity to pressure within the temporomandibular joint and stimulation of surrounding structures such as muscles or skin. This indicates a more generalized change in pain processing in the central nervous system resulting from persistent nociceptive stimuli from the painful temporomandibular joint area.^{13,22}

Effective diagnosis and treatment of central sensitization in temporomandibular joint disorders are very demanding tasks for dentists. Many patients struggling with this problem experience recurrent pain despite therapy, and are not satisfied with the treatment outcomes. Dentists should approach each patient individually, using comprehensive and targeted therapeutic methods. This article will present basic diagnostic techniques that may be effective in diagnosing central sensitization and therapies that could potentially be applied to its treatment.

Diagnosis of central sensitization

Traditional diagnostic methods used in diagnosing central sensitization

The primary method in diagnosing central sensitization should involve a thorough patient interview during which the physician assesses the possibility of neuropathic pain, and differentiates its origin towards nociceptive pain or central sensitization.²³

Neuropathic pain is characterized by sensations of burning, numbness, tingling, stabbing, and throbbing. It is accompanied by sensory disturbances, which can be categorized into positive symptoms, suggesting nervous system hyperreactivity, and negative symptoms, indicating loss of its function. Additionally, autonomic nervous system symptoms such as redness and sweating may occur.²³ Neuropathic pain is initially localized in the area innervated by the damaged nerve but can

gradually spread to other areas of the body.^{23,24} Nociceptive pain is initially localized to the site of injury or tissue dysfunction, and typically subsides upon the removal of the causative factor. The absence of symptoms indicating nociceptive or neuropathic pain suggests a diagnosis of central sensitization.^{23,25} In this case, pain often localizes in areas unrelated to the primary source and location of the cause. It is characterized by continuity, persistence and difficulty in description of symptoms by the patient. Often, there is no evidence of its occurrence in any diagnostic test results, and the pain associated with central sensitization can be described as “neuroanatomically illogical”.²³

In their review, *Kosińska* et al. mentioned three criteria that, during an interview, enable the physician to diagnose or exclude central sensitization.²³ When the first two criteria are met, the presence of central sensitization is very likely to be acknowledged. If only the first criterion is met, the interview should be continued, and the third criterion should be applied.^{23,25}

The first criterion suggests that pain in central sensitization is disproportionate to the nature and extent of the pathology the patient is dealing with. The discomfort experienced by the patient is not proportional to the primary cause of pain and may not be directly related to the area of damage or pathology.^{23,25,26}

The second criterion relates to specific characteristics of pain associated with central sensitization. Patients describe the pain as of a diffuse nature.^{23,25} Additionally, there is hypersensitivity to stimuli that are normally non-painful, known as allodynia, and also an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli, known as hyperalgesia.^{23,25,26} Simple techniques such as a light touch in the painful area can be used in physical examination to confirm allodynia, and light pricking or testing reactions to cold or warm stimuli in the case of hyperalgesia.^{23,25}

The third criterion applies to the characteristic hypersensitivity in central sensitization, which is not limited to just the musculoskeletal system.^{23,25,26} It may manifest itself not only as an increased pain response but also in reactions induced by chemical substances, temperature changes, electrical stimuli, stress or emotions. The interview with the patient should include questions about hypersensitivity to the aforementioned stimuli and their potential occurrence before the onset of painful symptoms.²³

To conduct an assessment of the third criterion, a special questionnaire, the “Central Sensitization Inventory” – Part A (CSI), developed by *Mayer* et al., can be used. The questionnaire evaluates the severity of 25 symptoms associated with central sensitization. Each symptom is assessed on a scale from 0 (never) to 4 (always), resulting in a total score ranging from 0 to 100. If the questionnaire score is at least 40 points, and the patient simultaneously meets the first criterion – the presence of disproportionate pain, it can be assumed that the patient is experiencing pain resulting from central sensitization due to chronic pain syndrome.^{23,26}

Imaging techniques of central sensitization

A review of available literature indicates a limited number of studies confirming the effectiveness of imaging tests in diagnosing central sensitization.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-invasive diagnostic method that allows the imaging of various areas of the human body without the use of ionizing radiation.²⁷ According to studies conducted by *Cagnie* et al., based on MRI results, the presence of central sensitization may be associated with reduced gray matter volume in specific areas of the brain. These findings mainly concern brain areas related to pain and stress processing, such as the cingulate gyrus, insula, prefrontal

cortex, and parahippocampal gyrus.²⁸ Based on research by *Cifre et al.*, *Cagnie* emphasizes that the anterior part of the cingulate gyrus and the insula are the main areas associated with transforming the affective components of pain.^{28,29} The affective factors include anxiety or depression, which may alter pain perception.³⁰ Also, changes in pain processing are associated with chronic pain disorders, such as in the case of central sensitization.³¹

Based on the aforementioned studies, it can be assumed that MRI may be a helpful method in observing changes associated with central sensitization. Nevertheless, further research is needed to confirm the effectiveness of imaging tests in diagnosing central sensitization.

Treatment methods for central sensitization coexisting with temporomandibular dysfunction

The use of occlusal splints

Occlusal splints, also known as bite splints, are commonly used to relax masticatory muscles, prevent overload injuries to the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), protect teeth, and also manage and control headaches.³² Patients treated with occlusal splints experience a change in the direction of occlusal forces, resulting in reduced strain on the TMJ structures and the surrounding structures.

Quoting the findings of a review by *Kapos et al.*, peripheral sensitization of nociceptive neurons is not a sufficient mechanism to explain prolonged nociceptive reactions of masticatory muscles, suggesting that central sensitization mechanisms are also involved.³³ According to studies by *Xie et al.* performed on animal models, experimentally induced occlusal changes can contribute to the development of disorders and damage to the TMJ, masticatory muscles, and the nervous system.³⁴

Changes in occlusion can induce diverse patterns of brain activity.³⁵ Based on the review

by *Kapos et al.*, it was found that the use of occlusal splints by patients, mainly during a night rest, leads to a short-term relief of pain associated with central sensitization of the TMJ.³³ Studies by *Kordas et al.* and *Ohkubo et al.* demonstrated that the use of splints may also decrease the activity of the brain and the masticatory muscles.³⁵⁻³⁷ Based on the analysis of the above-mentioned studies, it can be concluded that the use of occlusal splints, by reducing muscle tension, may limit the activity of nociceptors responsible for the development of central sensitization in the temporomandibular region.

The use of splints enables achieving proper, symmetrical jaw movements, preventing unilateral strains. Simultaneously, cerebellar activation occurs, initially in the right hemisphere, and over time, as jaw movements become more balanced and symmetrical, in the left hemisphere.^{35,38,39} Additionally, reduced activity of the insula leads to a reduction in pain symptoms.^{35,40}

Patients with temporomandibular joint disorders exhibit abnormal responses of the cerebral cortex, as well as decreased motor function and cognitive capacity. This suggests an association between disorders in the stomatognathic system and the nervous system.^{35,41} Patients with diagnosed temporomandibular dysfunction demonstrate increased anxiety, which is processed in the anterior part of the insula.^{35,38} The use of occlusal splints may help reduce psychological tension and alleviate pain associated with anxiety by decreasing insular activity.³⁵

In their publication, *Lickteig et al.* demonstrated that the use of occlusal splints was associated with a reduction in pain perception, which was more noticeable during jaw movements and to a smaller extent at rest. Pain reduction in this situation was associated with changes in blood oxygenation levels in the brain.^{35,39}

Pharmacological treatment

Pharmacological treatment is an important element of therapy for temporomandibular disorders, including central sensitization, which aims to alleviate pain and reduce inflammation.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the most commonly used group of drugs in the treatment of headaches, also in the temporomandibular region. These medications act by inhibiting cyclooxygenase, preventing the formation of pro-inflammatory prostaglandins.⁵ In a study conducted by *Ta et al.*, it was shown that naproxen significantly reduced clinical symptoms associated with TMJ problems.⁴² *De Carli et al.* demonstrated that piroxicam, a cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitor, most effectively reduced TMJ pain during a month-long study.⁴³ However, according to studies conducted by *Woolf*, drugs that are not effective in treating central sensitization include NK1 receptor antagonists and COX-2 inhibitors. It should be noted, however, that COX-2 inhibitors are effective if central sensitization is induced by peripheral inflammation.¹¹ Apart from using NSAIDs in the form of oral medications, topical NSAIDs such as diclofenac may also be helpful in relieving pain associated with central sensitization of the TMJ. In studies by *Businco et al.*, topically used diclofenac was proven to be very effective in treating symptoms of TMJ dysfunction, and there were no adverse effects associated with oral administration of the drug.⁴⁴

Antiepileptic drugs, such as gabapentin and pregabalin, reduce neuronal excitability. Both have broad applications in the treatment of chronic pain in the maxillofacial area.⁵ Due to their structural similarity to the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA, they represent a therapeutic option in the treatment of neuropathic pain associated with TMJ disorders.⁴⁵ Studies by *Kimos et al.* showed that gabapentin had a significant beneficial effect on reducing pain in

the TMJ area and the surrounding masticatory muscles.⁴⁶

Rehabilitation

Temporomandibular disorders include a range of conditions affecting both the joint itself and its surrounding structures. They lead to pain, muscle tension and functional disturbances. Rehabilitation in the form of physiotherapy and physical therapy plays an important role in alleviating symptoms and improving the function of the masticatory apparatus.

Based on research conducted by *Kapos et al.*, it can be inferred that physiotherapeutic treatments performed during the occurrence of pain in the muscles surrounding the temporomandibular joint significantly improve patients' quality of life and eliminate unpleasant symptoms. In the cited study, manual therapies showed greater effectiveness than the use of occlusal splints or transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS).³³

A variety of physiotherapeutic activities can significantly facilitate the control of temporomandibular disorders by improving jaw mobility, strengthening masticatory muscles and correcting postural abnormalities. According to studies by *Mienna et al.*, patients experiencing pain in the TMJ area, whether local or generic, reported improvement after regularly performing supervised exercises of the neck muscles and of the musculoskeletal system of the masticatory apparatus.⁴⁷

Transcranial Ultrasound Stimulation (TUS) is a non-invasive procedure used in the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders, including acute injuries of soft tissues, overuse syndromes, as well as chronic rheumatic diseases.⁴⁸ This therapy could be a potential treatment method for chronic pain associated with central sensitization of the TMJ. Further research is needed to confirm the effectiveness and optimal parameters of TUS in managing pain associated with central sensitization of

the musculoskeletal system of the masticatory apparatus.

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) is used to reduce muscle activity, including that of the masticatory apparatus.⁴⁹ Mechanism of TENS is based on the theory of pain transmission and blockade through the stimulation of nerve fibers.⁵⁰

Microcurrent Electrical Nerve Stimulation (MENS) is another therapeutic method using electrotherapeutic current which, when applied to the site of injury, evens out the flow, thus helping in the repair of damaged tissue. In their studies, *Saranya et al.* found that TENS and MENS could be used as a first-line therapeutic option for patients with acute and chronic pain in the muscles of the masticatory apparatus.⁵⁰ TENS and MENS, as therapeutic methods, could bring many benefits in managing pain associated with central sensitization of the TMJ. The effectiveness of these therapies may vary among patients, and optimal stimulation parameters, similarly as in the case of TUS, should be established and set in future researches.

Combining different physical therapies and integrating them into a comprehensive treatment plan may yield better results for patients struggling with central sensitization of the TMJ. The described treatment methods, by alleviating pain and muscle tension, could significantly improve patients' quality of life. However, further research is necessary to confirm their effectiveness and long-term effects.

Behavioral, psychological, and cognitive-based therapies

Traditional pharmacological and physical approaches provide symptomatic relief in temporomandibular disorders but often are insufficient in addressing the underlying mechanisms of central sensitization. Behavioral and psychological therapies become an equally

important method by promoting relaxation, improving pain coping strategies, and by modifying patients' cognitive and emotional processes.

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is one of the best known forms of psychotherapy. In this psychological-therapeutic approach, it is assumed that all learnt behaviours can be unlearned and then relearned.⁵¹ Its goal is to modify patterns of thoughts and actions that negatively impact human well-being.⁵⁴ In the context of TMJ disorders, CBT could help patients identify and modify thoughts and behaviours associated with pain. According to research conducted by *Minakuchi et al.*, the impact of CBT on managing chronic pain was assessed as minor due to the limited availability of well-designed studies.⁵² Therefore, further research is needed to determine whether CBT could positively influence pain perception and overall standards of living in patients with central sensitization of the TMJ.

Biological feedback, also known as biofeedback, is a therapeutic technique that enables patients to increase awareness and control over certain physiological functions, such as muscle tension.^{52,53} By learning to manage and regulate these functions, patients can influence their experience of pain and reduce its intensity.⁵² Electromyographic (EMG) biofeedback is used to provide direct responses from the muscles. It is based on continuous feedback of EMG signals recorded in a specific muscle, whose function is supposed to be modified.⁵⁴

Studies by *Florjanski et al.* showed that biofeedback therapy was useful in reducing masticatory muscle activity.⁵³ Additionally, in studies by *Criado et al.*, we can find information regarding the effectiveness of EMG-biofeedback therapy in treating patients with occlusion-related pathologies, such as teeth clenching, soreness, tenderness, and stiffness of the masticatory muscles, as well as other

temporomandibular disorders.⁵⁴ By providing real-time feedback, biofeedback allows patients to learn to regulate their physiological responses, enabling them to improve their pain-coping mechanisms.^{53,54} Biofeedback has shown promising results in managing pain associated with temporomandibular joint disorders and may also have benefits in coping with central sensitization.⁵²

Cognitive-based interventions, such as neurobiological pain education and cognitive restructuring, aim to educate patients about the origins of pain. By promoting a better understanding of pain mechanisms, these interventions can help patients change their perspective on their pain experiences and reduce fear and pain-related anxiety.⁵⁵

Mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) is a method, which combines meditation, yoga and body awareness techniques to cultivate consciousness and acceptance of the present moment. MBSR has proven its effectiveness in reducing pain and improving psychological well-being in various chronic pain conditions.⁵⁶ As part of a comprehensive treatment plan for central sensitization of the TMJ, MBSR could help patients cope with pain and regulation of emotions.

In cases of diagnosed central sensitization of the TMJ, personalized treatment plans are crucial for optimal healing outcomes. Dentists should take into account individual patient characteristics, pain severity, psychosocial factors and treatment preferences when adjusting the therapeutic plan. Collaboration with psychotherapists and psychologists is advisable. A combination of multiple approaches into a congeneric treatment plan can address the multifaceted nature of central sensitization. Behavioral and psychological therapies, by modifying negative cognitive processes and by improving pain coping mechanisms, complement traditional pharmacological approaches and physical therapies. Such

approaches should be considered in cases where conventional treatment methods do not yield satisfactory results in terms of improvement of patients' health. Conducting well-designed scientific studies in the future can help determine precisely the effectiveness of these interventions in the treatment of central sensitization of the TMJ.

Innovative treatment methods

Neuromodulatory techniques

Conventional treatment methods show varying degrees of success in treating central sensitization of the TMJ. Newer therapeutic methods are more often being implemented, as described below.

Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) is a non-invasive neuromodulatory technique that involves delivering low electrical current and modifying the level of cortical excitability.⁵⁷ By altering neuronal activity in the brain, tDCS aims to normalize abnormal pain responses associated with central sensitization. Studies on mice suggest that tDCS reduces allodynia induced by orofacial pain. Additionally, the research demonstrated the effectiveness of tDCS in controlling and reducing inflammatory pain in the orofacial area.⁵⁸ By targeting brain areas involved in pain processing, tDCS may counteract abnormal changes in neurons that contribute to the development of central sensitization.

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) is a technique that involves delivery of repetitive, short and highly focused magnetic pulses used to stimulate brain cells. This method uses magnetic fields to excite specific areas of the brain.⁵⁹ TMS can help normalize neuronal excitability and reduce pain intensity. Studies indicate that TMS may be a therapeutic option in treatment of neuropathic pain, as well as psychological symptoms associated with central sensitization. The cited study was conducted on

a patient struggling with knee osteoarthritis; however, this method could be a promising therapeutic approach for individuals suffering from pain associated with central sensitization of the temporomandibular joint.⁶⁰

Another described technique is Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS). It has been applied and approved for clinical use in various pathological conditions, including peripheral neuropathic pain.⁶¹ It involves delivering electrical impulses to the spinal cord to disrupt pain signals before they reach the brain.⁶² By interrupting the transmission of pain signals at the spinal cord level, SCS alleviates pain and restores normal pain processing mechanisms.^{61,62} Results of studies conducted on mice show that in the case of central sensitization, SCS may alleviate its development in appropriately selected cases.⁶²

Although further research is needed to fully clarify the clinical applications and mechanisms of these therapies, undeniably these innovative treatment approaches have the potential to enrich treatment plans for central sensitization of the temporomandibular joint area.

Alternative therapies

Various alternative therapies have gained attention in the recent years as potential approaches to treating pain, including that originating from the temporomandibular joint. Unfortunately, their effectiveness and mechanisms are not fully understood, but they potentially offer a targeted therapeutic approach to pain management of the central sensitization of the TMJ.

Cranial-sacral therapy, also known as craniosacral therapy, is a therapeutic method, which is based on the theory of the existence of movement restrictions within cranial sutures that negatively affect the harmonious flow of cerebrospinal fluid. All anatomical structures that are in direct contact with this fluid are part of the craniosacral system and theoretically can be affected by it. This therapy – when

performed by a qualified specialist who is placing hands in specific areas on the human body – helps in the improvement of the patient's health.⁶³ It is important for the therapist to use gentle pressure to minimize interference with the circulation of cerebrospinal fluid. The aim of this therapy is to eliminate any tensions present in this system.⁶⁴ Although precisely focused studies on its effectiveness in treating central sensitization of the TMJ are limited, the craniosacral therapy has been shown to yield positive results in terms of pain reduction and relaxation, especially in chronic pain.⁶⁵ Through its impact on the nervous system, this therapy might potentially provide relief to patients with central sensitization of the TMJ.

The use of herbal remedies and dietary supplements constitutes another alternative pathway in managing TMJ pain, particularly due to their potential anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties.^{66,67} Omega-3 fatty acids are associated with reducing inflammation and managing overall joint health. Studies have shown that Omega-3 fatty acids effectively reduce TMJ damage caused by rheumatoid arthritis in rats.⁶⁷ Although there are no specific studies on this phenomenon, Omega-3 fatty acids could be a complementary therapy element in the treatment of central sensitization of the TMJ. They could also be used as adjunctive therapy in reducing inflammatory processes associated with the TMJ structures.

Homeopathy is an alternative treatment method that provides individualized therapy for each patient. It uses medical substances in small doses, and as a result of the therapeutic process, the patient, not the disease, is treated. In other words, it involves the use of highly diluted substances that would cause similar symptoms to those the patient is experiencing at the time, to stimulate the body's innate ability to self-heal.⁶⁸ There is evidence that several patients with TMJ disorders experienced relief through the use of homeopathic techniques.⁶⁹ However,

currently there is a lack of scientific evidence concerning the effectiveness of homeopathy in managing central sensitization of the TMJ.

The therapies described above represent potential treatment methods for TMJ pain associated with central sensitization. As alternative medicine continues to evolve, further research is necessary to gain a more thorough understanding of the mechanisms and potential benefits in the treatment of central sensitization of the TMJ.

Based on research conducted by *Tran et al.*, there is evidence supporting both the traditional and gradual approaches in managing TMJ disorders. During the initial treatment, all non-invasive techniques such as simple patient self-care, analgesic medications, or regular physiotherapy are important. New, innovative and alternative therapies still require further research. *Tran et al.* demonstrated limited evidence supporting the effectiveness of therapy using occlusal splints. Intra-articular use of corticosteroids, hyaluronic acid, as well as intramuscular application of botulinum toxin may provide relief to patients, especially those dealing with chronic TMJ disorders, as is often the case with central sensitization. Surgical interventions such as arthroscopy or TMJ surgeries still require further research to determine the actual benefits for patients.⁷⁰

Conclusion

Central sensitization of the temporomandibular region is an undeniable cause of chronic pain, leading to many therapeutic challenges. It results in abnormal pain responses, increased sensitivity to pain signals and prolonged pain experiences. It plays a significant role in the pathophysiology of TMJ disorders, affecting pain perception, emotional well-being, and overall quality of life of patients struggling with this problem.

Accurate diagnosis and treatment of central

sensitization are essential factors for developing comprehensive and targeted therapeutic approaches that go beyond traditional peripheral symptom relief. Dentists need to understand the complex mechanisms of central sensitization to implement evidence-based therapeutic methods that improve treatment results.

Due to the complicated nature of central sensitization of the temporomandibular region, research and collaboration among medical doctors of different specialties, psychotherapists, and physiotherapists should continue. This kind of multidisciplinary collaboration can lead to more effective care for patients struggling with central sensitization of the TMJ. Future research should focus on comparing the effectiveness of conventional and alternative treatment methods. Additionally, long-term studies should be conducted to assess treatment outcomes over extended periods of time. Continuing studies on this topic will play an important role in creating future treatment methods for central sensitization of the temporomandibular region, enabling patients to eliminate any pain associated with this condition.

References

1. *Nijs J, Malfliet A, Ickmans K, Baert I, Meeus M*: Treatment of central sensitization in patients with 'unexplained' chronic pain: an update, Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy 2014; 15(12), 1671-1683.
2. *van Griensven H, Schmid A, Trendafilova T, Low M*: Central Sensitization in Musculoskeletal Pain: Lost in Translation? J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2020; 50(11): 592-596.
3. *Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ*: Central sensitization: a generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. J Pain 2009; 10(9): 895-926.
4. *Campi LB, Jordani PC, Tenan HL, Camparis CM, Gonçalves DA*: Painful

- temporomandibular disorders and central sensitization: implications for management—a pilot study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017; 46(1): 104-110.
5. Ferrillo M, Giudice A, Marotta N, Fortunato F, Di Venere D, Ammendolia A, Fiore P, de Sire A: Pain Management and Rehabilitation for Central Sensitization in Temporomandibular Disorders. A Comprehensive Review. *Int J Mol Sci* 2022; 23(20): 12164.
 6. Kuner R, Kuner T: Cellular circuits in the brain and their modulation in acute and chronic pain. *Physiol Rev* 2021; 101: 213-258.
 7. Liu YJ, Li YL, Fang ZH, Liao HL, Zhang YY, Lin J, Liu F, Shen JF: NMDARs mediate peripheral and central sensitization contributing to chronic orofacial pain. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2022; 16: 999509.
 8. Dahlstrom L, Carlsson GE: Temporomandibular disorders and oral health-related quality of life. A systematic review. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2010; 68: 80-85.
 9. Shinoda M, Kubo A, Hayashi Y, Iwata K: Peripheral and central mechanisms of persistent orofacial pain. *Front Neurosci* 2019; 13: 1227.
 10. Sessle BJ: Chronic orofacial pain: models, mechanisms and genetic and related environmental influences. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021; 22: 7112.
 11. Woolf CJ: Central sensitization: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. *Pain* 2011; 152: S2-S15.
 12. Conti PC, Costa YM, Gonçalves DA, Svensson P: Headaches and myofascial temporomandibular disorders: overlapping entities, separate managements? *J Oral Rehabil* 2016; 43(9): 702-715.
 13. Cairns BE: Pathophysiology of TMD pain – basic mechanisms and their implications for pharmacotherapy. *J Oral Rehabil* 2010; 37(6): 391-410.
 14. Petrenko AB, Yamakura T, Baba H, Shimoji K: The role of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors in pain: a review. *Anesth Analg* 2003; 97: 1108-1116.
 15. Hansen KB, Yi F, Perszyk RE, Furukawa H, Wollmuth LP, Gibb AJ, et al.: Structure, function and allosteric modulation of NMDA receptors. *J Gen Physiol* 2018; 150: 1081-1105.
 16. Kimura Y, Hayashi Y, Hitomi S, Ikutame D, Urata K, Shibuta I, et al.: IL-33 induces orofacial neuropathic pain through Fyn-dependent phosphorylation of GluN2B in the trigeminal spinal subnucleus caudalis. *Brain Behav Immun* 2022; 99: 266-280.
 17. Zhang YY, Liu F, Lin J, Li YL, Fang ZH, Zhou C, et al.: Activation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor contributes to orofacial neuropathic and inflammatory allodynia by facilitating calcium-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II phosphorylation in mice. *Brain Res Bull* 2022; 185: 174-192.
 18. Eide PK: Wind-up and the NMDA receptor complex from a clinical perspective. *Eur J Pain* 2000; 4(1): 5-15.
 19. Woolf CJ: Windup and central sensitization are not equivalent. *Pain* 1996; 66(2-3): 105-108.
 20. Cairns BE: Nociceptors in the orofacial region (temporomandibular joint & masseter muscle). In: RF Schmidt, WD Willis, eds. *Encyclopedic reference of pain*. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag 2006; 14.
 21. Lam DK, Sessle BJ, Hu JW: Glutamate and capsaicin effects on trigeminal nociception II: activation and central sensitization in brainstem neurons with deep craniofacial afferent input. *Brain Res* 2009; 1253: 48-59.
 22. Ayesb EE, Jensen TS, Svensson P: Effects of intra-articular ketamine on pain and somatosensory function in temporomandibular joint arthralgia patients. *Pain* 2008; 137: 286-294.
 23. Kosińska B, Turczyn P, Wesółowski K, Tarnacka B, Malec-Milewska M: Centralna sensytyzacja u pacjentów z przewlekłym bólem krzyża, część 1 – patofizjologia i

- diagnostyka. Artykuł przeglądowy. Index Copernicus International 2020; 21(1): 29-38.
24. *Alles SRA, Smith PA*: Etiology and Pharmacology of Neuropathic Pain. *Pharmacol Rev* 2018; 70(2): 315-347.
25. *Nijs J, Apeldoorn A, Hallegraeff H, Clark J, Smeets R, Malfliet A, Girbes EL, De Kooning M, Ickmans K*: Low back pain: guidelines for the clinical classification of predominant neuropathic, nociceptive, or central sensitization pain. *Pain Physician* 2015; 18(3): E333-46.
26. *Mayer TG, Neblett R, Cohen H, Howard KJ, Choi YH, Williams MJ, Perez Y, Gatchel RJ*: The development and psychometric validation of the central sensitization inventory. *Pain Pract* 2012; 12(4): 276-285.
27. *Grzanka P*: Obrazowanie Metodą Rezonansu Magnetycznego. *Medycyna Praktyczna*; 2017.
28. *Cagnie B, Coppieters I, Denecker S, Six J, Danneels L, Meeus M*: Central sensitization in fibromyalgia? A systematic review on structural and functional brain MRI. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* 2014; 44(1): 68-75.
29. *Cifre I, Sitges C, Fraiman D, Munoz MA, Balenzuela P, Gonzalez-Roldan A, Martínez-Jauand M, Birbaumer N, Chialvo DR, Montoya P*: Disrupted functional connectivity of the pain network in fibromyalgia. *Psychosom Med* 2012; 74: 55-62.
30. *Zambreanu L, Wise RG, Brook JC, Iannetti GD, Tracey I*: A role for the brainstem in central sensitisation in humans. Evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Pain* 2005; 114: 397-407.
31. *Burgmer M, Pogatzki-Zahn E, Gaubitz M, Wessoleck E, Heuft G, Pfeleiderer B*: Altered brain activity during pain processing in fibromyalgia. *NeuroImage* 2009; 44(2): 502-508.
32. *Crout DK*: Anatomy of an occlusal splint. *Gen Dent* 2017; 65(2): 52-59.
33. *Kapos FP, Exposto FG, Oyarzo JF, Durham J*: Temporomandibular disorders: a review of current concepts in aetiology, diagnosis, and management. *Oral Surg* 2020; 13(4): 321-334.
34. *Xie Q, Li X, Xu X*: The difficult relationship between occlusal interferences and temporomandibular disorder – insights from animal and human experimental studies. *J Oral Rehabil* 2013; 40(4): 279-295.
35. *Silva Ulloa S, Cordero Ordóñez AL, Barzallo Sardi VE*: Relationship between dental occlusion and brain activity: A narrative review. *Saudi Dent J* 2022; 34(7): 538-543.
36. *Kordass B, Lucas C, Huetzen D, Zimmermann C, Gedrange T, Langner S, Domin M, Hosten N*: Functional magnetic resonance imaging of brain activity during chewing and occlusion by natural teeth and occlusal splints. *Ann Anat – Anat Anzeiger* 2007; 189: 371-376.
37. *Ohkubo C, Morokuma M, Yoneyama Y, Matsuda R, Lee JS*: Interactions between occlusion and human brain function activities. *J Oral Rehabil* 2013; 40: 119-129.
38. *Dammann J, Klepzig K, Schenkenberger E, Kordass B, Lotze M*: Association of decrease in insula fMRI activation with changes in trait anxiety in patients with craniomandibular disorder (CMD) *Behav Brain Res* 2020; 379: 1-6.
39. *Lickteig R, Lotze M, Kordass B*: Successful therapy for temporomandibular pain alters anterior insula and cerebellar representations of occlusion. *Cephalalgia* 2013; 33: 1248-1257.
40. *Lickteig R, Lotze M, Lucas C, Domin M, Kordass B*: Changes in cortical activation in craniomandibular disorders during splint therapy. A single subject fMRI study. *Ann Anat Anat Anzeiger* 2012; 194: 212-215.
41. *He SS, Li F, Song F, Wu S, Chen JY, He N, Zou SJ, Huang XQ, Lui S, Gong QY, Chen S*: Spontaneous neural activity alterations in temporomandibular disorders: A cross-

- sectional and longitudinal resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuroscience* 2014; 278: 1-10.
42. *Ta LE, Dionne RA*: Treatment of painful temporomandibular joints with a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor: a randomized placebo-controlled comparison of celecoxib to naproxen. *Pain* 2004; 111(1-2): 13-21.
43. *de Carli ML, Guerra MB, Nunes TB, di Matteo RC, de Luca CE, Aranha AC, Bolzan MC, Witzel AL*: Piroxicam and laser phototherapy in the treatment of TMJ arthralgia: a double-blind randomised controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2013; 40(3): 171-178.
44. *Di Rienzo Businco L, Di Rienzo Businco A, D'Emilia M, Lauriello M, Coen Tirelli G*: Topical versus systemic diclofenac in the treatment of temporo-mandibular joint dysfunction symptoms. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 2004; 24(5): 279-283.
45. *Andre A, Kang J, Dym H*: Pharmacologic Treatment for Temporomandibular and Temporomandibular Joint Disorders. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2022; 34(1): 49-59.
46. *Kimos P, Biggs C, Mah J, Heo G, Rashid S, Thie NM, Major PW*: Analgesic action of gabapentin on chronic pain in the masticatory muscles. A randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2007; 127(1-2): 151-160.
47. *Storm Mienna C, Glas L, Magnusson M, Ilgunas A, Häggman-Henrikson B, Wänman A*: Patients' experiences of supervised jaw-neck exercise among patients with localized TMD pain or TMD pain associated with generalized pain. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2019; 77(7): 495-501.
48. *Papadopoulos ES, Mani R*: The Role of Ultrasound Therapy in the Management of Musculoskeletal Soft Tissue Pain. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds* 2020; 19(4): 350-358.
49. *Chellappa D, Thirupathy M*: Comparative efficacy of low-Level laser and TENS in the symptomatic relief of temporomandibular joint disorders. A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2020; 31(1): 42-47.
50. *Saranya B, Ahmed J, Shenoy N, Ongole R, Sujir N, Natarajan S*: Comparison of Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation (TENS) and Microcurrent Nerve Stimulation (MENS) in the Management of Masticatory Muscle Pain. A Comparative Study. *Pain Res Manag* 2019; 2019: 8291624.
51. Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG): Cognitive Behavioral Therapy. *Informedhealth.Org – NCBI Bookshelf*; 2013 updated 2016.
52. *Minakuchi H, Fujisawa M, Abe Y, Iida T, Oki K, Okura K, Tanabe N, Nishiyama A*: Managements of sleep bruxism in adult. A systematic review. *Jpn Dent Sci Rev* 2022; 58: 124-136.
53. *Florjanski W, Malysa A, Orzeszek S, Smardz J, Olchowy A, Paradowska-Stolarz A, Wieckiewicz M*: Evaluation of Biofeedback Usefulness in Masticatory Muscle Activity Management. A Systematic Review. *J Clin Med* 2019; 8(6): 766.
54. *Criado L, de La Fuente A, Heredia M, Montero J, Albaladejo A, Criado JM*: Electromyographic biofeedback training for reducing muscle pain and tension on masseter and temporal muscles. A pilot study. *J Clin Exp Dent* 2016; 8(5): e571-e576.
55. *Kim SH, Schneider SM, Kravitz L, Mermier C, Burge MR*: Mind-body practices for posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Investig Med* 2013; 61(5): 827-834.
56. *Andrés-Rodríguez L, Borràs X, Feliu-Soler A, Pérez-Aranda A, Rozadilla-Sacanell A, Montero-Marin J, Maes M, Luciano JV*: Immune-inflammatory pathways and clinical changes in fibromyalgia patients treated with Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR). A randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Brain Behav Immun* 2019; 80: 109-119.
57. *Polanowska K, Seniów J, Członkowska A*: Zasady stosowania i mechanizm działania

- przeznaczkowej stymulacji prądem stałym w neurorehabilitacji: dane z badań kory ruchowej. *Neurologia i Neurochirurgia Polska* 2010; 44(2): 172-173.
58. *Scarabelot VL, de Oliveira C, Medeiros LF, de Macedo IC, Cioato SG, Adachi LNS, Paz AH, de Souza A, Caumo W, Torres ILS*: Transcranial direct-current stimulation reduces nociceptive behaviour in an orofacial pain model. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019; 46(1): 40-50.
59. Biomed. Coto Jest Przeznaczkowa Stymulacja Magnetyczna (TMS). *Neurotechnologie i Biofeedback Dla Terapeutów i Psychologów* 2022.
60. *Nguyen JP, Dixneuf V, Esnaut J, Moreno AS, Malineau C, Nizard J, Lefaucheur JP*: The Value of High-Frequency Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation of the Motor Cortex to Treat Central Pain Sensitization Associated With Knee Osteoarthritis. *Front Neurosci* 2019; 13: 388.
61. *Sun L, Peng C, Joosten E, Cheung CW, Tan F, Jiang W, Shen X*: Spinal Cord Stimulation and Treatment of Peripheral or Central Neuropathic Pain: Mechanisms and Clinical Application. *Neural Plast* 2021; 2021: 5607898.
62. *Yamamoto S, Duong A, Kim A, Hu C, Wiemers B, Wang J, Chung JM, La JH*: Intraoperative Spinal Cord Stimulation Mitigates Central Sensitization After Spine Surgery in Mice. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2023; 48(11): E169-E176.
63. *Green C, Martin CW, Bassett K, Kazanjian A*: A systematic review of craniosacral therapy: biological plausibility, assessment reliability and clinical effectiveness. *Complement Ther Med* 1999; 7(4): 201-207.
64. *Miszewski WJ, Miszewska A*: Modern Methods of Physiotherapy – Craniosacral Therapy. *Medycyna Rodzinna*.
65. *Haller H, Lauche R, Sundberg T, Dobos G, Cramer H*: Craniosacral therapy for chronic pain. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2019; 21(1): 1.
66. *Peng Y, Ao M, Dong B, Jiang Y, Yu L, Chen Z, Hu C, Xu R*: Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Curcumin in the Inflammatory Diseases: Status, Limitations and Countermeasures. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2021; 15: 4503-4525.
67. *Marana RR, Benedicto Dos Santos VA, Groppo FC, Ferreira LEN, Sánchez JB, Barbin T, Figueroba SR*: Omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: Potential anti-inflammatory effect in a model of ovariectomy and temporomandibular joint arthritis induction in rats. *Arch Oral Biol* 2022; 134: 105340.
68. Farmacja molekularna WUM: Dlaczego Zajmujemy Się Homeopatią? farmacjamolekularna.wum.edu.pl/sites/farmacjamolekularna.wum.edu.pl/files/czym_jest_homeopatia_1-1.pdf.
69. *Silva CT, Primo LG, Mangabeira A, Maia LC, Fonseca-Gonçalves A*: Homeopathic therapy for sleep bruxism in a child. Findings of a 2-year case report. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2017; 35(4): 381-383.
70. *Tran C, Ghahreman K, Huppa C, Gallagher JE*: Management of temporomandibular disorders: a rapid review of systematic reviews and guidelines. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2022; 51(9): 1211-1225.

Zaakceptowano do druku: 17.06.2024 r.

Adres autorów: 02-097 Warszawa, Binieckiego 6.

© Zarząd Główny PTS 2024.